



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/512,949	02/25/2000	Guang-Ho Cha	AM9-99-0217	6841

7590

11/21/2002

John L Rogitz
Rogitz & Associates
750 B Street
Suite 3120
San Diego, CA 92101

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Notification of Non-Compliance
With 37 CFR 1.192(c) in
Ex Parte Reexamination**

Control No.

09/512,949

Examiner

Sathyanarayan Pannala

Patent Under Reexamination

CHA ET AL.

Art Unit

2177


--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 10 October 2002 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

Patent owner is given a TIME PERIOD of ONE MONTH from the mailing date of this letter or any time remaining in the period under 37 CFR 1.192(a) (whichever is longer) for filing a new complete brief. If a new complete brief that fully complies with 37 CFR 1.192(c) is not timely submitted, the appeal will be dismissed as of the expiration of the period for reply to this Notification. Extensions of this one (1) month time period may be obtained only under 37 CFR 1.550(c). The new complete brief must be filed IN TRIPLICATE *. See 37 CFR 1.192(a).

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4. ☒ The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6. ☐ A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
 - (a) ☐ the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
 - (b) ☐ the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

A NEW COMPLETE Appeal Brief in TRIPLICATE is required. The Supplemental Appeal Brief filed on 10/8/2002 is not complete, since it contains ONLY Summary of Invention. SRP



JOHN BREENE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

* If this is a merged proceeding, one copy must be added to the base three copies for each reexamination in addition to the first reexamination.

cc: Requester (if third party requester)